

Commissioner of Patents
Serial No. 10/763,785
Response Date September 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action dated August 2, 2005
Page 13

AMENDMENTS TO DRAWINGS

Figure 4 has been replaced by the enclosed replacement sheet in order to add reference numbers 80 and 82 for the first interior circular surface's 50 first interior edge 80 and first exterior edge 82 and in order to add reference numbers 84 and 86 for the second exterior circular surface's 58 second interior edge 84 and second exterior edge 86. These reference numbers have been added to aid the reader in understanding the Figure.

Commissioner of Patents
Serial No. 10/763,785
Response Date September 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action dated August 2, 2005
Page 14

REMARKS

I. Status of the Application.

The Examiner has concluded that the Application is in condition for allowance except for a few formal matters. Specifically, the Examiner objects to the specification for allegedly not providing antecedent basis for claims 5-6 and claims 10-11 and to the drawings for allegedly not showing all the elements of claims 5-6 and claims 10-11. The Examiner notes that the specification refers to a Figure 5 which has not been submitted and that the Abstract contains a typographical error. Applicant respectfully submits that the foregoing amendments and following remarks incorporated herein overcome the Examiner's objections to the Application.

II. The Objections To The Specification And Drawings Should Be Withdrawn.

Applicant has amended Figure 4 and the specification on page 5 to identify the portions of Figure 4 that shows and provides antecedent basis for the "first interior circular edge", first exterior circular edge", "second circular exterior edge", and "second circular interior edge" limitations of claims 5-6 and claims 10-11 (the "Limitations"). Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to the specification and Figure 4 do not add new matter to the Application, because original Figure 4 already displayed the Limitations and Applicant has merely added references numbers to Figure 4 to identify them. Applicant respectfully submits that the originally filed Figure 4 shows the Limitations and that the amendments to page 5 of the specification and Figure 4 just clarify where the Limitations were already shown. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that these amendments be entered and that the objections to claims 5-6 and 10-11 be withdrawn.

Commissioner of Patents
Serial No. 10/763,785
Response Date September 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action dated August 2, 2005
Page 15

Moreover, Applicant has amended pages 3, 5, 6, and 7 to correct typographical errors. As the Examiner noted, page 6 incorrectly referenced a Figure 5 that was not filed with the Application. Applicant has amended pages 5 and 6 to remove the references to Figure 5 and to correctly refer to Figure 4. The amendments to pages 3 and 7 also correct typographical errors not noted by the Examiner but that put the Application in a better condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the amendments to pages 3, 5, 6, and 7 do not constitute new matter and request that they be entered. Additionally, Applicant respectfully submits that the objection to the specification for improperly referencing Figure 5 should be withdrawn because the specification has been amended to remove these references.

III. The Objections To The Abstract Should Be Withdrawn.

Applicant has amended the Abstract to correct the noted typographical error by deleting it. Although, not raised as an objection, the Applicant noted that the original Abstract exceeded the 150 word limit under 37 C.F.R. 1.72(b). Accordingly, Applicant has amended the Abstract to meet the 150 word limit and to put this Application in a better condition for allowance. Thus, Applicant respectfully requests that the amendments to the Abstract be entered and that the objection to the Abstract be withdrawn.

IV. The Amendments Of Claims 1 And 7 Should Be Entered.

While the Examiner did not raise any objections to the claims, Applicant corrected a couple typographical errors in claims 1 and 7 in order to put the Application in a better condition for allowance. Because these amendments only correct typographical errors, Applicant respectfully submits that they do not add new matter and should be entered.

Commissioner of Patents
Serial No. 10/763,785
Response Date September 28, 2005
Reply to Office Action dated August 2, 2005
Page 16

CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that formal matters raised in the Ex Parte Quayle Action have been resolved and that claims 1-12 are allowable claims. Allowance of this Application is therefore respectfully requested. In the event Applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for payment of any fees, Applicant conditionally petitions therefore, and authorizes any deficiency to be charged to deposit account 09-0007. When doing so, please reference the above-listed docket number.

Respectfully submitted,

ICE MILLER



Alexander D. Forman, 51,691

ICE MILLER

One American Square, Box 82001

Indianapolis, IN 46282-0200

Telephone: (317) 236-5826

Facsimile: (317) 592-5433

Date: 9/28/05

ADF

Enclosures: Fax Transmittal Sheet
Replacement Sheet for Figure 5